

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of SERENITY HAMPTON, Minor.

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

CATHERINE HAMPTON,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

GARY MULLINS,

Respondent.

UNPUBLISHED

July 13, 2006

No. 266689

Kent Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 05-052355-NA

Before: Kelly, P.J., and Markey and Meter, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

Respondent Hampton appeals as of right from a circuit court order terminating her parental rights to the minor child under MCL 712A.19b(3)(i). We affirm.

Given respondent's six-year history with protective services and the termination of her parental rights to four other children, the trial court did not clearly err in finding that it had jurisdiction over the child under the doctrine of anticipatory neglect. *In re BZ*, 264 Mich App 286, 295; 690 NW2d 505 (2004); *In re Gazella*, 264 Mich App 668, 680-681; 692 NW2d 708 (2005).

The trial court also did not clearly err in finding that at least one statutory ground for termination had been proved by clear and convincing evidence. *In re Trejo*, 462 Mich 341, 351, 356-357; 612 NW2d 407 (2000); *In re IEM*, 233 Mich App 438, 451; 592 NW2d 751 (1999). Respondent had four other children who were court wards at various times due to serious environmental neglect. Although respondent participated in numerous services, she was unable to make any lasting changes and her parental rights to those children were terminated. Further, the trial court's finding regarding the child's best interests was not clearly erroneous. *Trejo*, *supra* at 356-357; MCL 712A.19b(5). The trial court did not err in terminating respondent's parental rights to the child.

Affirmed.

/s/ Kirsten Frank Kelly

/s/ Jane E. Markey

/s/ Patrick M. Meter