

04/17/2006 “See News Release 019 for any Concurrences and/or Dissents.”

SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

No. 2005-C -2562

**MARK E. ANDREWS, ON BEHALF OF HIMSELF
AND OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED**

VERSUS

TRANS UNION CORPORATION

**VICTORY, J. CONCURS IN THE DENIAL OF THE WRIT AND ASSIGNS
REASONS:**

While I believe there may be some problems with the class definition adopted by the district court, my decision to concur in the denial of this writ is affected by the statement made by class counsel in its opposition to defendant’s writ to this court that it intends “upon remand, to request the trial court judge to modify the class definition, out of an abundance of caution, to ameliorate Judge Murray’s concerns.”