# 02/20/04 "See News Release 019 for any concurrences and/or dissents."

### SUPREME COURT OF LOUISIANA

#### No. 03-K-2736

## STATE OF LOUISIANA

v.

# CRAIG A. PARKER

On Writ of Certiorari to the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal

#### PER CURIAM:

Granted. The order of the court of appeal is vacated and the defendant's sentence is reinstated. The state did not move in the district court for correction of defendant's sentence, <u>see</u> La.R.S. 15:301.1, or seek correction on review, <u>see</u> La.C.Cr.P. art. 881.2(B), and the presentence report upon which the appellate court relied for its conclusion that defendant is not eligible for suspension of sentence and probation because of his prior record is not part of the record for purposes of error patent review. <u>See State v. Wrestle, Inc.</u>, 360 So.2d 831, 837 (La. 1978)("Historically, our jurisprudence does not permit inspection of the trial transcript to ascertain such errors . . . but only of the record for purposes of error patent appellate review – - in general, the indictment or information, the minutes, and the verdict and sentence.") (citation omitted). To the extent that amendment of the defendant's sentence entails more than a ministerial correction based on self-activating terms of the statute defining the offense of conviction, and necessarily includes consideration of the report's contents which otherwise were not contested

in the trial court because the state failed to make an issue of them relative to the court's authority to suspend sentence as a matter of La.C.Cr.P. art. 893, our decision in <u>State v. Williams</u>, 00-1725 (La. 11/28/01), 800 So.2d 790, does not sanction the <u>sua sponte</u> correction made by the court of appeal on defendant's appeal of his conviction and sentence.