

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA
FIFTH DISTRICT

JANUARY TERM 2011

RUFUS HATTEN,

Petitioner,

v.

Case No. 5D10-4167

STATE OF FLORIDA,

Respondent.

_____ /

Opinion filed February 4, 2011

Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus,
A Case of Original Jurisdiction.

Rufus Hatten, Wewahitchka, pro se.

No Appearance for Appellee.

PER CURIAM.

ON ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

After receiving this Court's affirmance of the denial of his twelfth *pro se* post-conviction motion attacking his 1994 conviction for armed robbery, Petitioner, Rufus Hatten ["Hatten"], filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus seeking reconsideration of our "erroneous PCA". We issued a *Spencer*¹ show cause order directing Hatten to demonstrate why he should not be barred from further *pro se* challenges to his convictions and sentences in this case. Having considered Hatten's response and

¹ *State v. Spencer*, 751 So. 2d 47 (Fla. 1999).

finding it to be unpersuasive, we conclude that he is abusing the judicial process and should be barred from further *pro se* filings. Therefore, we now prohibit Rufus Hatten from filing with this Court any further *pro se* pleadings concerning St. Johns County, Seventh Judicial Circuit Court case number CF93-1246. The clerk of this Court is directed not to accept any further *pro se* filings from Hatten concerning this case. Any additional pleading regarding this case will be summarily rejected by the clerk, unless they are filed by a member in good standing of the Florida Bar. See *Johnson v. State*, 652 So. 2d 980 (Fla. 5th DCA 1995). The clerk is further directed to forward a certified copy of this opinion to the appropriate institution for consideration of disciplinary procedures. See *Simpkins v. State*, 909 So. 2d 427, 428 (Fla. 5th DCA 2005); § 944.279(1), Fla. Stat. (2010).

Future *pro se* filings PROHIBITED; Certified Opinion FORWARDED to Department of Corrections.

GRIFFIN, ORFINGER and TORPY, JJ., concur.