## IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA FIFTH DISTRICT JULY TERM 2011

JOSE R. BENENATI AND SANDRA BENENATI,

Appellants,

v. Case No. 5D10-2884

CHASE HOME FINANCE, LLC, ETC., ET AL.,

Appellees.

Opinion filed September 23, 2011

Non Final Appeal from the Circuit Court for Orange County, Dorothy J. Russell, Judge.

F. Scott Fistel, Gwen L. Kellman and Laura L. Brogan of Fistel Law Firm, P.A., Coral Springs, Hal Uhrig and Jad M. Brewer of Foreclosure Defense Group, Maitland, for Appellants.

Jeffery M. Gano of Florida Default Law Group, P.L., Tampa, for Appellees.

TORPY, J.

## ON MOTIONS TO WITHDRAW

In this over one-year-old appeal from an attempted collateral attack on a foreclosure judgment entered in 2008, counsel for Appellants seek to withdraw. Hal Uhrig, Esquire, and Jad M. Brewer, Esquire (whose firm filed the initial brief under signature of Jad M. Brewer, Esquire), have attempted to withdraw by filing a "Notice of

Withdrawal of Appearance as Local Co-Counsel." The so-called "notice" fails to state

the reasons for withdrawal and fails to request court permission to withdraw as required

by Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.440(b). The motion to withdraw filed by F.

Scott Fistel, Esquire, states only that "irreconcilable differences have arisen" and seeks

an indefinite extension of time for Appellants to obtain new counsel. There is also no

indication that it was served on Appellant Jose R. Benenati, in violation of rule 9.440(b).

We deny withdrawal for failure to comply with the rule. We also disapprove the

stated reason for withdrawal by Attorney Fistel given the vague nature of the request,

the fact that briefing has been closed for many months, and that no oral argument was

requested. Because a disposition on the merits is imminent, no further requests to

withdraw will be considered.

MOTIONS DENIED.

LAWSON and EVANDER, JJ., concur.

2